

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/706,667	11/06/2000	Arnold Karel Jansen Van Doorn	PHN-17.714	PHN-17.714 8978	
24737	7590 07/26/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			PAYNE, DAVID C		
P.O. BOX 300 BRIARCLIFF) MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			2633	12	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004	. 10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/706,667	JANSEN VAN DOORN, ARNOLD KAREL			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David C. Payne	2633			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	9SS		
THE REPLY FILED 3 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper repich places the applic	ation in		
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	ee MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (ension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	implifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	l amendment		
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	 •			
10. Other:					



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amended claims that teach inter alia prevention of clipping of the optical transmitter and blocking detected impuse noise from passing upstream requires further searching.

JASON CHAN
JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600